



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,048	02/28/2002	Clara Maria Otero Perez	PHNL 010127	8816
24737	7590	07/21/2006	[REDACTED]	EXAMINER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
			[REDACTED]	ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
				2195

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,048	OTERO PEREZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2195	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on April 20, 2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The non-final rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by YUE (U.S. Patent 6,272,517).

As to claim 1, YUE teaches a method of scheduling a first task (thread) comprising the following steps: a first step of starting the first task to run during a predetermined time period (via executing a thread for a pre-determined time quantum specified in the time quantum field) (col. 8, lines 10-24); a second step of detecting that the first task blocks during the predetermined time period (via when an executing consumer thread is blocked) (col. 8, line 64 – col. 9, line 4); characterized in that the method further comprises a third step of preventing that the first task resumes running during the predetermined time period (via sending the remaining time quantum in the timeleft field of the blocked consumer thread to an owner thread or another thread) (col. 9, lines 5-27). See also col. 2, lines 47-64; col. 3, lines 1-35; col. 10, lines 14 – col. 11, line 12).

As to claim 2, YUE teaches the second step is based upon context switch information (remaining time quantum in the timeleft field of the blocked consumer thread) (col. 9, lines 5-27; col. 2, lines 47-64; col. 3, lines 1-35; col. 10, lines 14 – col. 11, line 12).

As to claim 3, YUE teaches the second step includes a first sub-step of detecting that the first task is suspended (blocked) and that a second task is allowed to start

running (via if the thread is blocked sending the remaining time to an owner thread or other thread) (col. 9, lines 5-27; col. 2, lines 47-64; col. 3, lines 1-35; col. 10, lines 14 – col. 11, line 12); and wherein the context switch information comprises: a second priority of the second task that is lower than a first priority of the first task (via each thread has a priority and the owner thread does not have a higher priority than the blocked consumer thread) (col. 7, lines 39-51; col. 9, lines 12-16), and a remaining budget of the first task (timeleft field which indicates the remaining time quantum of the blocked consumer thread) that is substantially equal to an assigned budget for the period minus a consumed budget during the predetermined time period (col. 9, lines 5-27; col. 2, lines 47-64; col. 3, lines 1-35; col. 10, lines 14 – col. 11, line 12).

As to claim 4, YUE teaches the remaining budget is withdrawn from the first task during the predetermined time period (via if the thread is blocked sending the remaining time to an owner thread or other thread) (col. 9, lines 5-27; col. 2, lines 47-64; col. 3, lines 1-35; col. 10, lines 14 – col. 11, line 12).

As to claims 5 and 6, reference is made to a system that corresponds to the method of claims 1-3 and is therefore met by the rejection of claims 1-3 above.

As to claims 7 and 8, YUE teaches a storage device comprising a program product arranged to perform the method (col. 6, lines 20-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over YUE (U.S. Patent 6,727,517).

As to claims 9 and 10, YUE teaches that the invention can be implemented on a wide variety of data processing systems that execute multi-threaded applications and include a single or multiple processors (col. 5, lines 5-9). However, YUE does not explicitly detail that the system is a television set or set-top box. However, Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that a television set or set-top box can execute multi-threaded applications and have any number of processors and therefore would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the system of YUE functions as a television set or set-top box in order to share remaining quantum of processor time allocated to threads (col.1, lines 10-14).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2195

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 19, 2006


LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER


LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
USPTO